

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,358	02/05/2004	William Stern	P/546-279 REISSUE	8408
2352	7590 02/11/200	;	EXAMINER	
	NK FABER GERB (UE OF THE AMERIC	HAGHIGHAT	HAGHIGHATIAN, MINA	
	K, NY 100368403	no.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/774,358	STERN, WILLIAM		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mina Haghighatian	1616		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the made patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dation will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>13-44</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>13-44</u> is/are rejected.				
Applicat	ion Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔯 Infon	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date 05/04 & 12/04.		Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-14, 17, 20-23, 34 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grebow et al (5,026,825).

Grebow et al teaches an intranasal formulations comprising calcitonin and excipients. The salmon and chicken calcitonins have a potency of about 4,000 to 6,000 MCR U/mg peptide (col. 3, lines 4-15). The said formulations may be administered across the nasal membranes as a spray, nose drop or aerosol (col. 11, lines 15-21).

Grebow also discloses that the nasal spray solutions are especially preferred with water or in a buffer at a pH of between 3.0 and 8.0 using a buffer system including a mixture of sodium citrate and citric acid in the range of 0.01 M to 0.5 M. This concentration was found effective to provide stability of the dissolved calcitonin in the diluent base or vehicle (col. 11, lines 35-47). Furthermore the formulations are said to have been made in 0.2M buffer at a pH value of 4.1, which meets the pH limitation of claims 13-14 stating a pH value of about 3.9 or about 3.7 (col. 14, lines 34-35). The preparations may also comprise other additives including stabilizers, tonicity adjusters, viscosity builders, preservatives and the like (col. 11, lines 48-52). The said additives

Art Unit: 1616

include methyl paraben, propyl paraben, phenethyl alcohol, etc. Grebow discloses certain suitable concentration ranges of the said additives in the table of column 12.

Claims 13-14, 16-23, 34 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kagatani et al (5,026,825).

Kagatani et al teach compositions for intranasal administration comprising calcitonin at least one absorption enhancer and liquid carriers and diluents suitable for application to the nasal mucosa (col. 1, lines 50-65). The clacitonins can be salmon calcitonin, human calcitonin, porcine calcitonin, etc (see paragraph bridging cols. 1 and 2). The agents used to enhance absorption of calcitonin include benzyl alcohol, Macrogol 400, ethanol, etc (col. 2, lines 3-13). The pernasal medical composition may be in the form of an aqueous solution. A buffer solution including citrates in a preferred pH range of 3 to 5 is employed. The formulations also may contains polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate (col. 2, lines 16-49).

Kagatani also discloses that the aqueous solution for nasal administration comprises from 200 to 6000IU/ml of calcitonin (see col. 3, lines 5-10). Examples 1 and 4-6 show various ingredients such as salmon clacitonin, citric acid, sodium citrate, benzyl alcohol, etc, and their concentration ranges for the said formulations.

kagatani does not disclose a specific amount for surface active agents, it is considered that it would be at least 0.1%. Furthermore the examples of formulations indicate a pH of 4.0, which meets the limitation of about 3.9 and 3.7 of claims 13-14.

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 13-14, 17, 20-23, 34 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Veronesi et al (6,107,277).

Veronesi teaches formulations for intranasal administration comprising calcitonin, especially salmon clacitonin, citric acid and sodium citrate a buffers and other additives such as preservatives and surfactants. Various concentration ranges for the said ingredients. The formulations preferably have a pH value of from 3.6 to 4.5 (see col. 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15, 24-28, 30-33, 35-39 and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grebow et al (5,026,825) in view of Dua et al (The influence of tonicity and viscosity on the intranasal absorption of salmon calcitonin in rabbits).

Grebow et al, discussed above, lacks disclosure on specific tonicity and viscosity of the intranasal formulations of clacitonin.

Dua et al compare the effect of different tonicity and viscosity levels of the formulation on absorption of the clacitonin from the nasal mucosa. Dua discloses studies performed with a formulation at a viscosity of about 1 and a formulation at a viscosity of about 76 cp. Dua also discloses that suitable tonicity for intranasal formulations of clacitoni is from 100 to 600 mOsm and a pH of about 4.0 was

Art Unit: 1616

accomplished using buffers (see abstract and page 235, col. 1, lines 7-11). Dua concludes that the droplet size distribution produced by the metered nasal spray pump at 1 cps viscosity was gaussian and unimodal (see page 239, column 1, lines 45-47).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the intranasal formulations of calcitonin as disclosed by Grebow et al to include the viscosity and tonicity limitations of the intranasal formulations of calcitonin as disclosed by Dua et al with the reasonable expectations of successfully preparing efficient and stable formulations with suitable and recognized viscosity and tonicity for nasal administration.

Claims 14-15, 24-33, 35-39 and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kagatani et al (4,788,221) in view of Dua et al (The influence of tonicity and viscosity on the intranasal absorption of salmon calcitonin in rabbits).

Kagatani et al, discussed above, lacks disclosure on specific tonicity and viscosity of the intranasal formulations of clacitonin.

Dua et al compare the effect of different tonicity and viscosity levels of the formulation on absorption of the clacitonin from the nasal mucosa. Dua discloses studies performed with a formulation at a viscosity of about 1 and a formulation at a viscosity of about 76 cp. Dua also discloses that suitable tonicity for intranasal formulations of clacitoni is from 100 to 600 mOsm and a pH of about 4.0 was accomplished using buffers (see abstract and page 235, col. 1, lines 7-11). Dua

Art Unit: 1616

concludes that the droplet size distribution produced by the metered nasal spray pump at 1 cps viscosity was gaussian and unimodal (see page 239, column 1, lines 45-47).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the intranasal formulations of calcitonin as disclosed by Kagatani et al to include the viscosity and tonicity limitations of the intranasal formulations of calcitonin as disclosed by Dua et al with the reasonable expectations of successfully preparing efficient and stable formulations with suitable and recognized viscosity and tonicity for nasal administration.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chiodini et al (5,719,122) teaches compositions comprising calcitonin in dosage forms including intranasal administration. The formulations comprise a mixture of citric acid and sodium citrate as buffers and other ingredients.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mina Haghighatian whose telephone number is 571-272-0615. The examiner can normally be reached on core office hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary L. Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICI PRIV

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mina Haghighatian January 28, 2005